

# ON THE MINIMALITY OF A PART OF EIGEN- AND ASSOCIATED VECTORS FOR A CLASS OF THIRD-ORDER QUASI-ELLIPTIC OPERATOR PENCILS

A.T. GAZILOVA, S.S. MIRZOEV\*

Received: 17.11.2023 / Revised: 19.01.2024 / Accepted: 31.01.2024

*In memory of M. G. Gasymov on his 85th birthday*

**Abstract.** *In the paper, we derive sufficient conditions on the coefficients of a third-order quasi-elliptic operator pencil, ensuring the minimality of its system of eigen- and associated vectors corresponding to eigenvalues from the left half-plane. Additionally, we prove a theorem on the minimality of decreasing elementary solutions to a homogeneous equation in a Sobolev-type space.*

**Keywords:** operator pencil, eigen- and associated vectors, decreasing elementary solutions, regular solution, internal compactness, minimal system

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 34G10, 35J40, 35P10, 46C05, 47L75

## 1. Some Definitions and Facts from the Theory of Linear Operators

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and let  $A$  be a self-adjoint positive-definite operator on  $H$  with the domain of definition  $Dom(A)$ . When  $\gamma \geq 0$ , let's denote the Hilbert space  $H_\gamma$  by introducing the scalar product  $(x, y)_\gamma = (A^\gamma x, A^\gamma y)$  within the domain of definition of the operator  $A^\gamma$ , i.e., in  $Dom(A^\gamma)$ . When  $\gamma = 0$ , we assume that  $(x, y)_0 = (x, y)$  and  $H_0 = H$ .

---

\* Corresponding author.

**Aydan T. Gazilova**

Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Baku, Azerbaijan  
E-mail: aydan-9393@list.ru

**Sabir S. Mirzoev**

Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan;  
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Baku, Azerbaijan  
E-mail: mirzoyevsabir@mail.ru

The notation  $\sigma(\cdot)$  will be understood as the spectrum of the operator  $(\cdot)$ .

Let's consider in the Hilbert space  $H$  a third-order quasi-elliptic operator pencil [4]:

$$P(\lambda) = (\lambda E - A)^2(\lambda + A) + \lambda^2 A_1 + \lambda A_2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the spectral parameter,  $E$  is the identity operator, and the remaining coefficients of the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$  satisfy the conditions:

1)  $A$  is a self-adjoint positive-definite operator with a completely continuous inverse operator  $A^{-1}$ ;

2) the operators  $B_1 = A_1 A^{-1}$  and  $B_2 = A_2 A^{-1}$  are bounded in  $H$ .

Let's assume that

$$P_0(\lambda) = (\lambda E - A)^2(\lambda + A), \quad P_1(\lambda) = \lambda^2 A_1 + \lambda A_2.$$

Then

$$P(\lambda) = P_0(\lambda) + P_1(\lambda).$$

The operator pencil (1) can be represented in the form

$$P(\lambda) = (E + L(\lambda))A^3, \quad (2)$$

where

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda(B_2 - E)A^{-1} + \lambda^2(B_1 - E)A^{-2} + \lambda^3 A^{-3}.$$

Since  $A^{-1}$  is a completely continuous operator, and the operators  $B_1 - E$  and  $B_2 - E$  are bounded operators, then  $L(\lambda)$  is an operator function with completely continuous values. And since  $L(0) = 0$ , then  $E + L(\lambda)$  is invertible at the point  $\lambda = 0$ , therefore, the operator pencil  $E + L(\lambda)$ , according to M.V. Keldysh's theorem [7], has a discrete spectrum with a unique limit point at infinity. From the representation (2), it follows that the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$  also possesses this property. Note that all points of the spectrum of the pencil  $P(\lambda)$  are poles of the resolvent  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $x_0 \neq 0$ ,  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in H_{5/2}$  satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} P(\lambda_0)x_0 &= 0, \\ P(\lambda_0)x_1 + \frac{1}{1!} \frac{dP(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} x_0 &= 0, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ P(\lambda_0)x_m + \frac{1}{1!} \frac{dP(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} x_{m-1} + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2 P(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} x_{m-2} + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{d^3 P(\lambda)}{d\lambda^3} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} x_{m-3} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\lambda_0$  is called an eigenvalue of the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$ , and  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m$  are eigen- and associated vectors of the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ .

It is evident that a single eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  may correspond to multiple sets of eigen- and associated vectors. In the future, we will assume that all sets of eigen- and associated vectors are canonical [7].

Let  $K(\Pi_-)$  denote the canonical system of all eigen- and associated vectors corresponding to eigenvalues from the left half-plane  $\Pi_- = \{\lambda : \operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0\}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\lambda_0$  be an eigenvalue of the operator pencil (1), where  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0 < 0$ . Then, if  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m$  is a system of eigen- and associated vectors of the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ , then

$$u_n(t) = e^{\lambda_0 t} \left( \frac{t^n}{n!} x_0 + \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} x_1 + \dots + x_n \right), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

are called decreasing elementary solutions to the homogeneous equation  $P(d/dt)u(t) = 0$ .

Denote by  $L_2((a, b); H)$  a Hilbert space of functions defined almost everywhere on the interval  $(a, b)$  with values in  $H$  and the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_2((a,b);H)} = \left( \int_a^b \|f\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Following the monograph [10], let's denote by

$$W_2^n((a, b); H) = \{u : u^{(n)} \in L_2((a, b); H), \quad A^n u \in L_2((a, b); H)\}$$

a Hilbert space with norm

$$\|u\|_{W_2^n((a,b);H)} = \left( \|u^{(n)}\|_{L_2((a,b);H)}^2 + \|A^n u\|_{L_2((a,b);H)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For  $(a, b) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $(a, b) = \mathbb{R}_+$ , we use the notations  $W_2^n(\mathbb{R}; H)$  and  $W_2^n(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ , respectively.

Note that if  $\omega \in W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$  (see [10]), then it can be extended to the left half-plane as the zero function over the entire real line, where

$$\omega_1(t) = \begin{cases} \omega(t), & t \geq 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

belongs to the space  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}; H)$ .

Furthermore, we observe that if  $u \in W_2^n((a, b); H)$ , then

$$a) \quad A^{n-j} u^{(j)} \in L_2((a, b); H) \text{ and } \|A^{n-j} u^{(j)}\|_{L_2((a,b);H)} \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^n((a,b);H)},$$

$$j = \overline{1, n-1} \text{ (the theorem on intermediate derivatives);}$$

$$b) \text{ for } t_0 \in [a, b] \quad u^{(j)}(t_0) \in H_{n-j-1/2} (j = \overline{0, n-1}) \text{ and } \|u(t_0)\|_{n-j-1/2} \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^n((a,b);H)}, \quad j = \overline{0, n-1} \text{ (the theorem on traces).}$$

The paper proves the minimality of the system  $K(\Pi_-)$  in the space  $H_{5/2}$  and the system of decreasing elementary solutions in the space  $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$  subject to additional conditions imposed on the coefficients of the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$ .

It should be noted that M.V. Keldysh, in a well-known work [7], studied the issues of completeness and minimality of all eigen- and associated vectors of a certain class of polynomial operator pencils. In the work by M.G. Gasimov [6], an original method was proposed, connecting the solvability of a boundary-value problem on the semi-axis for a certain class of operator-differential equations with spectral problems for a part of the eigen- and associated vectors of the corresponding polynomial operator pencil,

corresponding to eigenvalues from the left half-plane. This work was further developed in papers [11]-[18]. Here, it is worth mentioning the works [1]-[3], [5], where they studied both the spectral properties of a quasi-elliptic operator pencil of the third order, different from the one considered in this paper, and the issues of solvability of boundary-value problems on the semi-axis for the operator-differential equation related to this pencil.

## 2. One Theorem for Functions from the Space

$W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$

As we noted, the function  $\omega_1(t)$  defined by equality (3), belongs to the space  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}; H)$ . The following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.** *Let conditions 1) and 2) be satisfied. Then, under the fulfillment of condition*

$$\|B_1\| + \|B_2\| < \left(\frac{27}{4}\right)^{1/2}$$

for every  $\omega \in W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ , the following inequality holds

$$\|P(d/dt)\omega\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \geq \text{const}\|\omega\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)}. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega(t)$  be any function from  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ . Then let's denote

$$\psi(t) = P(d/dt)\omega(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We can write that for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the equality holds

$$\psi_1(t) = P(d/dt)\omega_1(t), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $\omega_1(t) = 0$  when  $t \leq 0$ , then  $\psi_1(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ . After the Fourier transformation, we have

$$\hat{\psi}_1(\xi) = P(i\xi)\hat{\omega}_1(\xi).$$

Let's demonstrate that under the conditions of the theorem, the resolvent  $P^{-1}(i\xi)$  exists. Indeed, since  $P_0^{-1}(i\xi)$  exists for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , then from the representation

$$P(i\xi) = (E + P_1(i\xi)P_0^{-1}(i\xi))P_0(i\xi)$$

we obtain that  $P(i\xi)$  is invertible when the operator  $E + P_1(i\xi)P_0^{-1}(i\xi)$  is invertible. We have

$$\|P_1(i\xi)P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| \leq \|B_1\| \cdot \|(i\xi)^2 AP_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| + \|B_2\| \cdot \|i\xi \cdot A^2 P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\|. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, from the spectral decomposition of the operator  $A$ , it follows that

$$\|(i\xi)^2 AP_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| \leq \sup_{\mu \in \sigma(A)} \left\| \xi^2 \mu (\xi^2 + \mu^2)^{-3/2} \right\| \leq \left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (6)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|i\xi A^2 P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| \leq \sup_{\mu \in \sigma(A)} \left\| \xi \mu^2 (\xi^2 + \mu^2)^{-3/2} \right\| \leq \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

Taking into account the inequalities (6) and (7) in the inequality (5), we obtain

$$\|P_1(i\xi)P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| \leq \left( \frac{4}{27} \right)^{1/2} (\|B_1\| + \|B_2\|).$$

According to the theorem's conditions,  $\|P_1(i\xi)P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| < 1$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

So, the resolvent  $P^{-1}(i\xi)$  exists for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\|P^{-1}(i\xi)\| \leq \text{const} \|P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| \leq \text{const} \sup_{\mu \in \sigma(A)} (\xi^2 + \mu^2)^{-3/2} \leq \text{const} \mu_0^{-3/2} = \text{const}.$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{\omega}_1(\xi) = P^{-1}(i\xi)\hat{\psi}_1(\xi).$$

Then it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} &= \|\omega_1\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}; H)} = \|\hat{\omega}_1(\xi)\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}; H)} = \left\| P^{-1}\hat{\psi}_1(\xi) \right\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}; H)} \leq \\ &\leq \text{const} \left\| \hat{\psi}_1(\xi) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}; H)} = \text{const} \|\psi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} = \text{const} \|P(d/dt)\omega\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, inequality (4) has been proven.  $\blacktriangleleft$

### 3. On the Internal Compactness of the Space of Regular Solutions to the Homogeneous Equation

**Definition 3.** *If the function  $u(t) \in W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$  satisfies the equation  $P(d/dt)u(t) = 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then it is called a regular solution to the homogeneous equation.*

The set of regular solutions to the homogeneous equation is denoted by

$$L(P) = \{u : u \in W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H), P(d/dt)u(t) = 0\}.$$

The space  $L(P)$  is a complete subspace of  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$  according to conditions 1) and 2), and the theorem on intermediate derivatives.

**Definition 4.** *Let  $0 \leq a < a' < b' < b$  and  $M > 0$  are real numbers. If the set*

$$L_M = \left\{ u : u \in L(P), \|u\|_{W_2^2((a, b); H)} \leq M \right\}$$

*is compact with respect to the norm  $W_2^2((a', b'); H)$ , then we say that the space of regular solutions to the homogeneous equation is internally compact.*

Notice that the definition of internal compactness was first provided by P.D. Lax [8], who applied the obtained results to elliptic equations in an infinite domain.

Let's augment the space  $L(P)$  with the norm  $\|u\|_{W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)}$  and denote the resulting space after augmentation by  $\hat{L}_M$ . Let's show that  $\hat{L}_M$  is a compact set with respect to the norm  $\|u\|_{W_2^2((a', b'); H)}$ .

The following theorem holds.

**Theorem 2.** *Assuming all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, then  $\hat{L}_M$  is a compact set with respect to the norm  $\|u\|_{W_2^2((a', b'); H)}$ .*

*Proof.* From the conditions of the theorem, it follows that for any  $w \in W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$  the inequality holds

$$\|P(d/dt)w(t)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \geq \text{const}\|w\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)}. \quad (8)$$

Let  $\varphi(t)$  be an infinitely differentiable scalar function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in (a', b'), \\ 0, & t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (a', b'), \end{cases}$$

and  $u(t)$  be a regular solution to the equation  $P(d/dt)u(t) = 0$ . Then  $\varphi(t)u(t) \in W_2^3(\mathbb{R}; H)$  and  $|\varphi^{(k)}(t)| \leq \text{const}, t \in \mathbb{R}, k = 1, 2, 3$ .

From the inequality (8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(d/dt)\varphi(t)u(t)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} &\geq \text{const}\|\varphi(t)u(t)\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \geq \text{const}\|\varphi(t)u(t)\|_{W_2^3((a, b); H)} \geq \\ &\geq \text{const}\|\varphi(t)u(t)\|_{W_2^3((a', b'); H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$P(d/dt)\varphi(t)u(t) = \varphi(t)P(d/dt)u(t) + Q(\varphi, u),$$

where  $Q(\varphi, u)$  is some operator function depending on  $\varphi(t)$  and  $u(t)$ .

Since  $P(d/dt)u(t) = 0$ , then

$$\|P(d/dt)\varphi(t)u(t)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} = \|Q(\varphi, u)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)}.$$

Using the theorem on intermediate derivatives, it is easy to obtain that

$$\|Q(\varphi, u)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \leq \text{const}\|u\|_{W_2^2((a, b); H)}. \quad (10)$$

Using (9) and (10), we have

$$\|u\|_{W_2^3((a', b'); H)} \leq \text{const}\|u\|_{W_2^2((a, b); H)}.$$

Since  $u \in \hat{L}_M$ , then  $\|u\|_{W_2^3((a', b'); H)} \leq \text{const}$ . Because  $A^{-1}$  is a completely continuous operator, then the embedding  $W_2^3((a', b'); H) \subset W_2^2((a', b'); H)$  is compact [6], i.e.,  $\hat{L}_M$  is compact with respect to the norm  $\|u\|_{W_2^2((a', b'); H)}$ . ◀

## 4. The Minimality of Decreasing Elementary Solutions and the System $K(\Pi_-)$

The following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then the system of decreasing elementary solutions is minimal in the space  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $K(\Pi_-)$  is the canonical system of eigen- and associated vectors corresponding to eigenvalues from the left half-plane. We have just proven that the space of regular solutions to the homogeneous equation is internally compact. Then, according to [8], the elementary decreasing solutions to the homogeneous equation will constitute a system of eigen- and associated vectors for a completely continuous operator (see also [16])

$$(Tu)(t) = u(t+1),$$

and its eigenvalues will be  $e^{\lambda_0}$  ( $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0 < 0$ ), and the eigen- and associated vectors will be decreasing elementary solutions corresponding to the eigenvalue  $e^{\lambda_0}$  ( $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0 < 0$ ). According to the results of [9], these vectors are minimal in  $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ . Thus, the operator  $T$ , acting in the space  $\hat{L}_M$ , is completely continuous. But, on the other hand,  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H) \subset W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$  and elementary decreasing solutions belong to  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ . Since the system of decreasing elementary solutions is minimal in  $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$  and the norm  $\|u\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)}$  is stronger than the norm  $\|u\|_{W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)}$ , this system will be minimal in  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ . Indeed, if there exists  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and for all coefficients  $c_n$

$$\left\| u_n - \sum_{k \neq n} c_k u_k \right\|_{W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} > \varepsilon_1,$$

then

$$\left\| u_n - \sum_{k \neq n} c_k u_k \right\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \geq \operatorname{const} \left\| u_n - \sum_{k \neq n} c_k u_k \right\|_{W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \geq \varepsilon_1 \cdot \operatorname{const} = \varepsilon_2.$$

◀

**Theorem 4.** *Let conditions 1) and 2) hold, and suppose the inequality is satisfied*

$$N_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \|B_1\| + N_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \|B_2\| < 1,$$

where  $N_1(\mathbb{R}_+) = (\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{8})^{1/2}$ ,  $N_2(\mathbb{R}_+) = \beta_0^{-1}$ ,  $\beta_0$  is a positive root of the equation  $4\beta^3 - 11\beta^2 - 20\beta - 1 = 0$ . Then the system  $K(\Pi_-)$  is minimal in  $H_{5/2}$ .

*Proof.* From the conditions of the Theorem, it follows that the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. Indeed,

$$N_1(\mathbb{R}_+) > \left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^{1/2}, \quad N_2(\mathbb{R}_+) > \left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, according to Theorem 3, the system of decreasing elementary solutions is minimal in  $W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ .

On the other hand, it follows from the results of [12] that when the conditions of Theorem are satisfied, the boundary-value problem

$$P(d/dt)u(t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$u(0) = \zeta, \quad \zeta \in H_{5/2},$$

has a unique regular solution, and

$$\|u\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|\zeta\|_{5/2}. \quad (11)$$

If we consider the operator  $\Gamma u(0) = u(t)$ , acting from the space  $H_{5/2}$  to the space  $\hat{L}_M$ , then we will see that, from inequality (11) and the theorem on traces, it follows that the operator  $\Gamma : H_{5/2} \rightarrow \hat{L}_M$  satisfies the condition

$$\text{const} \|u(0)\|_{5/2} \leq \|\Gamma u(0)\|_{W_2^3(\mathbb{R}_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|u(0)\|_{5/2}.$$

From this, it follows that  $\Gamma^{-1}$  exists, is bounded, and therefore, it transforms the minimal system into a minimal system. Consequently,  $K(\Pi_-)$  is minimal in  $H_{5/2}$ . ◀

## References

1. Aliev A.R., Elbably A.L. On the solvability in a weight space of a third-order operator-differential equation with multiple characteristic. *Dokl. Math.*, 2012, **85** (2), pp. 233-235.
2. Aliev A.R., Elbably A.L. Well-posedness of a boundary value problem for a class of third-order operator-differential equations. *Bound. Value Probl.*, 2013, **2013** (140), pp. 1-15.
3. Aliev A.R., Elbably A.L. Completeness of derivative chains for polynomial operator pencil of third order with multiple characteristics. *Azerb. J. Math.*, 2014, **4** (2), pp. 3-9.
4. Dubinskii Yu.A. On some differential-operator equations of arbitrary order. *Math. USSR-Sb.*, 1973, **19** (1), pp. 1-21.
5. Elbably A.L. On the completeness of a system of elementary solutions for an operator-differential equation. *Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci. Mathematics and Mechanics*, 2012, **32** (4), pp. 35-42.
6. Gasymov M.G. On the theory of polynomial operator pencils. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1971, **199** (4), pp. 747-750 (in Russian).
7. Keldysh M.V. On the completeness of the eigenfunctions of some classes of non-selfadjoint linear operators. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 1971, **26** (4), pp. 15-44.
8. Lax P.D. A Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem in harmonic analysis and its application to some questions in the theory of elliptic equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1957, **10** (3), pp. 361-389.
9. Lidskii V.B. Summability of series in terms of the principal vectors of non-selfadjoint operators. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 1962, **11**, pp. 3-35 (in Russian).



10. Lions J.L., Magenes E. *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*. Dunod, Paris, 1968; Mir, Moscow, 1971; Springer, Berlin, 1972.
11. Mirzoev S.S. Multiple completeness of root vectors of polynomial operator pencils corresponding to boundary-value problems on the semiaxis. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 1983, **17** (2), pp. 151-153.
12. Mirzoev S.S., Gazilova A.T. On the completeness of a part of root vectors for a class of third-order quasi-elliptic operator pencils. *Math. Notes*, 2019, **105** (5), pp. 798-801.
13. Mirzoev S.S., Salimov M.Yu. Completeness of elementary solutions to a class of second order operator-differential equations. *Siberian Math. J.*, 2010, **51** (4), pp. 648-659.
14. Radzievskii G.V. A method of proof of the minimality and the basis property of a part of the root vectors. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 1983, **17** (1), pp. 18-23.
15. Radzievskii G.V. Minimality, basis property and completeness of a subset of the root vectors of a quadratic operator pencil. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1985, **283** (1), pp. 53-57 (in Russian).
16. Shkalikov A.A. Elliptic equations in a Hilbert space and related spectral problems. *Trudy Sem. Petrousk.*, 1989, (14), pp. 140-224 (in Russian).
17. Vlasov V.V. The minimality of derived chains. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 1982, **37** (5), pp. 198-199.
18. Vlasov V.V. Multiple minimality of a part of a system of root vectors of M. V. Keldysh's pencils. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1982, **263** (6), pp. 1289-1293 (in Russian).